

# FUEL CAT LTD

t/a  
ECS

## REPORT EVALUATION OF FUEL CAT/ ECS

## EMISSION REDUCTION/FUEL SAVING SYSTEM.

*A Joint Cooperation study with*  
**THE PHILADELPHIA COCA COLA  
BOTTLING company  
725 EAST ERIE AVENUE  
PHILADELPHIA  
U.S.A.**

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

OBJECTIVE	PAGE 1
TEST METHOD	PAGE 2
TEST APPARATUS	PAGE 3
TEST VEHICLES	PAGE 4
OPACITY (BLACK SMOKE) SUMMARY	PAGE 5
MPG TRUCKS NOT FITTED	PAGE 6
MPG TRUCKS FITTED WITH ECS	PAGE 7
MPG ANALYSIS	PAGE 8
RESULTS	PAGE 9

# OBJECTIVE

To evaluate emission reductions and fuel saving using the E.S.C. system a joint co-operation program with The Philadelphia Coca Cola Bottling Co.

For the program 20 trucks of varying ages in regular daily use were chosen from the Coca Cola Pool of trucks by Mr Al Anderson. 10 would be fitted with E.S.C. the other 10 would remain as standard. All 20 trucks would then run their normal work.

The program to date covers 3 months, April, May and June 1998.

During this period all 20 trucks would be tested for opacity, (black smoke) and very closely monitored for their fuel consumption and mileage.

By the end of 3 months a clear indication of the E.C.S. capability of reducing opacity and improving fuel consumption on the 10 trucks fitted with E.C.S. will be shown compared to the 10 trucks not fitted.

# TEST METHOD

## OPACITY (BLACK SMOKE)

1. The chosen 20 trucks were all tested for Opacity to establish a baseline, readings taken and recorded by Mr Pete Krasinski.
2. April 1998 10 of the trucks were fitted with E.C.S. Coca Cola Engineers carried out the fitting in the Philadelphia depot.
3. The remaining 10 trucks would remain as standard.
4. The 20 trucks 10 fitted E.C.S. and 10 standard continued to operate their normal daily runs and continued to do so throughout the program.
5. It is a requirement of E.C.S. a run in period of a minimum of 500 miles be achieved before E.C.S. achieves it's optimum effect. Therefore, when all 20 trucks had exceeded the 500 mile minimum, a second opacity (black smoke) test took place. -Pete Krasinski returned to Philadelphia to perform the operation.
6. From the baseline readings it is now possible to compare the 10 trucks not fitted with the 10 trucks fitted with E.C.S.

## M.P.G.

1. Mr Al Anderson extracted from Coca Cola's computer, 6 months m.p.g. data directly previous to April 1998 relative to the 20 trucks. This data established the baseline.
2. The figures for the control period after fitting E.C.S. April, May and June were provided on the same basis.

# TEST APPARATUS

## **OPACITY (BLACK SMOKE)**

Wager Model 5500

SAE approved J1667

Mobile opacity meter.

Operator Mr Peter Krasinski.

## **M.P.G.**

Courtesy of The Philadelphia Coca Cola Bottling Co.

Computer records Mr Al Anderson.

## TRUCK DETAILS PRE INSTALLATION

TRUCK #	YEAR	MAKE & MODEL	ENGINE SPEC.	MILEAGE	BASELINE MPG
9062	1986	Ford LNT 9000	NTC 315 HP	205,575	3.39
9063	1986	Ford LNT 9000	NTC 315 HP	363,394	3.67
9064	1986	Ford LNT 9000	NTC 315 HP	284,929	5.48
9066	1986	Ford LNT 9000	NTC 315 HP	347,240	3.08
9067	1986	Ford LNT 9000	NTC 315 HP	227,776	5.05
9068	1986	Ford LNT 9000	NTC 315 HP	248,640	4.46
9073	1986	Ford LNT 9000	NTC 315 HP	242,075	3.73
9075	1987	Ford LNT 9000	NTC 315 HP	430,741	5.58
9077	1987	Ford LNT 9000	NTC 315 HP	263,263	2.24
9078	1987	Ford LNT 9000	NTC 315 HP	194,631	4.57
9079	1987	Ford LNT 9000	NTC 315 HP	164,627	4.83
9080	1987	Ford LNT 9000	NTC 315 HP	364,614	3.25
9082	1989	Ford LNT 9000	NTC 315 HP	296,227	3.41
9083	1989	Ford LNT 9000	NTC 315 HP	462,839	5.42
9084	1989	Ford LNT 9000	NTC 315 HP	410,904	4.03
9085	1989	Ford LNT 9000	NTC 315 HP	423,789	3.21
9090	1997	Freight Liner FL112	Cummins M11 350 HP	97,015	5.41
9092	1997	Freight Liner FL113	Cummins M11 350 HP	36,052	4.26
9093	1997	Freight Liner FL114	Cummins M11 350 HP	79,460	5.65
9094	1997	Freight Liner FL115	Cummins M11 350 HP	52,165	5.67

## **5. Opacity (Black Smoke).**

### **SUMMARY OF OPACITY (BLACK SMOKE) READINGS**

Trucks not fitted with E.C.S.:

Truck #	Wager Recorded #	Baseline Opacity %	Wager Recorded #	Opacity %	Opacity % change
** 9064	1158	7.80	1187	5.10	-34.62
** 9079	1163	41.70	1169	49.10	+17.75
** 9082	1151	27.10	1188	27.90	+2.95
** 9089	1190	9.40	1179	5.80	-38.30
** 9093	1148	2.70	1175	3.30	+22.22
Condition worse				Average Total % Change	+2.82

Trucks fitted with E.C.S.:

Truck #	Wager Recorded # before fitting E.C.S.	Baseline Opacity %	Wager Recorded # after fitting E.C.S.	Opacity % after fitting E.C.S.	Opacity % change
* 9063	1145	18.5	1171	3.2	-82.70
* 9066	1154	9.10	1170	6.10	-32.97
* 9068	1165	28.70	1181	1.30	-95.47
* 9075	1144	64.60	1177	16.80	-73.99
* 9077	1153	26.70	1182	7.30	-72.66
* 9084	1166	23.60	1190	2.00	-91.53
* 9085	1164	38.80	1178	10.70	-72.42
* 9092	1159	2.30	1185	0.70	-69.75
* 9094	1157	2.40	1189	0.90	-62.50
Condition better				Average Total % Change	-77.18

\* Equipment with E.C.S.

\*\* Equipment without E.C.S.

Of the 20 trucks in the test program only the above 14 trucks were available for Opacity Smoke Testing on the same day after fitting E.C.S.

However it shows substantial Opaque (Black Smoke) reduction

## **6. 10 Trucks mpg without modification or change.**

Baseline represents a total of 6 months average fuel consumption to March 1998.  
Mpg April, May and June 1998.

Truck #	Baseline Mpg	April Miles	April Mpg	May Miles	May Mpg	June Miles	June Mpg
9062	3.39	1479	3.26	1356	3.93	1334	2.45
9064	5.48	1949	4.69	1993	4.78	1654	4.39
9067	5.05	2485	3.45	1934	3.67	1385	3.88
9073	3.73	2722	3.78	2380	4.19	2424	3.66
9078	4.57	1943	5.05	1974	3.71	1946	5.29
9079	4.83	3280	3.78	2184	3.54	1872	2.9
9082	3.41	3342	3.14	2074	3.5	1832	3.69
9083	5.42	1719	3.46	2088	3.71	2011	3.55
9089	5.41	2701	3.74	2424	3.59	5459	3.68
9093	5.65	4495	3.87	3481	3.16	3374	4.01
<b>10 Truck Average</b>	<b>4.69</b>	<b>2601</b>	<b>3.82</b>	<b>2188</b>	<b>3.78</b>	<b>2329</b>	<b>3.75</b>

## **7. 10 Trucks mpg fitted with E.C.S.**

Baseline represents a total of 6 months average fuel consumption before fitting E.S.C.

Mpg April, May and June 1998

Truck #	Baseline Mpg	April Miles	April Mpg	May Miles	May Mpg	June Miles	June Mpg
9063	3.67	1756	2.93	2959	3.21	2578	3.01
9066	3.08	1156	3.53	1467	3.57	1784	3.66
9068	4.46	1363	3.82	1710	3.41	1885	3.53
9075	5.58	2170	5.02	1810	3.73	2139	4.63
9077	2.24	1604	3.25	1127	4.61	1562	3.75
9080	3.25	1483	3.13	2596	3.45	1904	5.01
9084	4.03	2313	3.41	3531	4.14	2833	4.25
9085	3.21	2496	3.74	1206	3.39	2109	4.1
9092	4.26	2410	3.54	1742	4.99	1583	3.96
9094	5.67	2986	3.67	1876	2.92	2426	5.43
<b>10 Truck Average</b>	<b>3.95</b>	<b>1974</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>2002</b>	<b>3.74</b>	<b>2080</b>	<b>4.13</b>

## 8. Mpg Analysis.

10 trucks not fitted E.C.S.: -

	mpg average	% +/- baseline
Baseline 6 months	4.69	
April 1998	3.87	-17.48 %
May 1998	3.78	-19.40 %
June 1998	3.75	-20.04 %

10 trucks fitted with E.C.S. (mid April 1998): -

	mpg average	% +/- baseline	Overall improvement
Baseline 6 months	3.95		
April 1998	3.6	-8.86 %	+8.62 %
May 1998	3.74	-5.31 %	+14.05 %
June 1998	4.13	+4.55 %	+24.59 %

Fuel saving based upon mileage of 10 trucks fitted with E.C.S.: -

	Mileage	Mpg Saving	Galons saved
April	19,740	8.62 %	473
May	20,020	14.05 %	752
June	20,800	24.59 %	1238

# RESULTS

## **OPACITY (BLACK SMOKE)**

Of the 20 trucks in the program, unfortunately on the day nominated for Peter Krasimski to complete the readings only 14 trucks were available. The other 6 trucks were still out of the depot working.

However the effect of E.C.S. was significant. A 77% reduction in opacity (black smoke).

## **M.P.G.**

It was apparent even considering the wide age range of trucks used in the program in the optimum third month after fitting E.S.C. a 24% fuel saving was achieved.

Of the 10 trucks fitted with E.C.S. over the 3 months, there was an approximate fuel saving of 2,463 gal.

## **CONCLUSION**

The concept of testing 20 trucks, 10 trucks fitted with E.C.S. and 10 trucks not fitted has shown that real world testing highlights the benefits of E.C.S.